UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,532	10/31/2003	Carlos Alberto Bonilla	200309110-1	2707
	7590 06/22/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			BHAT, ADITYA S	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/699,532	BONILLA, CARLOS ALBERTO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aditya S. Bhat	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 2863

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,3-6,8,10-13,15-16, and18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238).

With regards to claims 1 and 8 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses a method & computer readable instructions for managing a testing task, said method comprising:

receiving a plurality of test cases to run(112;fig 3), each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case; (Page 3, Paragraph 0023)

receiving an identification of a group of available test systems on which to run said test cases; (106;fig 3)

for each test case, determining a list of applicable test systems from said group that satisfy said requirements of said respective test case; (Page 3, Paragraph 0023)

automatically selecting and starting test cases to run based on each respective list and said available test systems so that as many test cases as possible are run in parallel; (Page 3, Paragraph 0020)(fig3)

when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, automatically selecting and starting an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective list and said available test systems. (Page 3, Paragraph 0020)(fig3) and providing the results of the testing task (30;figure 1)(126;fig 3)

Application/Control Number: 10/699,532

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claims 3, 10, and 18, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses keeping track of a status of each test case. (Page 4, Paragraph 0027)

With regards to claims 4,11 and 19,Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses completing said testing task when test cases that could have run on said available test systems have finished running. (110;fig 3)

With regards to claim 5, 12 and 20 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses displaying results of said test cases. (Page 4,Paragraph 0029)(fig 2)

With regards to claims 6, 13 and 21 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses automatically selecting and starting test cases to run includes: for each test case, creating a real test system name file. (fig 2)

With regards to claim 15, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses system comprising:

a plurality of available test systems; (Refer to figure 1)

a controller for controlling said available test systems;(24;Refer to figure 1) and a test driver (24;fig 1) for receiving a plurality of test cases, each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case,(winNT, Win200,UNIX;fig 1) wherein said test driver matches said available test systems with said test cases based on said requirements, (fig1) and wherein said test driver selects and starts test cases to run so that as many test cases as possible are run in parallel based on said available test systems and said requirements, and wherein when any test case finishes, a test system of said finished test case is released to said plurality of available test systems. (Page 3, Paragraph 0020)(fig 3)

Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 16, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, said test driver selects and starts an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective requirements and said available test systems. (fig 3)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) in view of Mathews (USPUB 20003/0098879).

With regards to claims 1 and 8 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses a method & computer readable instructions for managing a testing task, said method comprising:

receiving a plurality of test cases to run, each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case; (Page 2, Paragraph 0043)

receiving an identification of a group of available test systems on which to run said test cases; (Page 2, Paragraph 0037)

for each test case, determining a list of applicable test systems from said group that satisfy said requirements of said respective test case; (Page 1,Paragraph 0010)

automatically selecting and starting test cases to run based on each respective list and said available test systems so that as many test cases as possible are run in parallel; (Page 1, Paragraph 0013) and

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claims 2, 9 & 17, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses for each available test system, determining a plurality of attributes of said respective available test system. (Page 2, Paragraph 0034)

With regards to claims 3, 10, and 18, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses keeping track of a status of each test case. (Page 1, Paragraph 0016)

With regards to claims 4,11 and 19,Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses completing said testing task when test cases that could have run on said available test systems have finished running. (Page 3, Paragraph 0048)

With regards to claim 5, 12 and 20 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses displaying results of said test cases. (Page 3,Paragraph 0046)

With regards to claims 6,13 and 21 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses automatically selecting and starting test cases to run includes: for each test case, creating a real test system name file. Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) teaches using "the required testing files" (Page 3, Paragraph 0051) therefore it would be within reasonable interpretation for one skilled in the art to name or some method of identifying these files in order to differentiate between the plurality of files.

With regards to claims 7, 14 and 22 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses initializing a work directory for each test case. (1000;refer to figure 2)

With regards to claim 15, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses system comprising:

a plurality of available test systems; (Refer to figure 1)

a controller for controlling said available test systems;(4000;Refer to figure 1) and

a test driver for receiving a plurality of test cases, each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case, wherein said test driver matches said available test systems with said test cases based on said requirements, (Page 1, Paragraph 0011-0014)

With regards to claim 16, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, said test driver selects and starts an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective requirements and said available test systems. (Page 1, Paragraph 0014)

Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) does not appear to teach when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, automatically selecting and starting an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective list and said available test systems.

Mathews (USPUB 2003/0098879) teaches when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, automatically selecting and starting an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective list and said available test systems. (Page 3, Paragraph 0020)(fig3)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hsieh to automatically select and start an additional test

Art Unit: 2863

case when any previous test case finishes taught by Knowles in order to reduce testing cycle times (Page 1, paragraph 0005, lines 14-17).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shrader et al (USPUB 6,473,894) teaches a dynamic runtime and test architecture for Java applets, and Mongan et al. (USPN 6,304,982) teaches a network distributed automated testing system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,532 Page 8

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya S. Bhat June 17, 2007

BRYAN BUI PRIMAHI EXAMINER

PNZ 6/19/57